



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_5519
Title of the Manuscript:	Predicting direction of stock prices index movement using artificial neural networks: The case of Libyan Financial Market
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Section 2 provides a brief overview of the theoretical literature. But on the other hand the therotical literature may be presented in the table. That would be more useful.	I think every study has different design and styles. Usually researcher they don't use table to present the argument of the theoretical literature, because there is not enough information to support their idea or study. In this reason we don't present the literature in the table to get very strong argument.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	No minor revision.	Thank you
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Suggestions for future research are so detailed and numbered very well but more important part of conclusion that is outcomes of the paper are not detailed and numbered as well.	I think Conclusion is well-built and backed by literature. Very well concisely discussed in terms of theory, trends and statistical analysis.